

Message

From: Bednar, Candace [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=026506FB4B504445A458816A0198234E-BIAS, CANDACE]
Sent: 6/19/2018 12:56:09 PM
To: Green, Jamie [Green.Jamie@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Rolla project that went bad
Attachments: Hillcrest emails.docx; 109A S. Rucker (S. Tan Bldg.) - Asbestos Survey.pdf; 109B S. Rucker (N. Tan Bldg.) - Asbestos Survey.pdf; 410 Highway 72 - Asbestos Survey.pdf

Candace Bednar
Environmental Protection Agency
913-551-7562

From: paul jeffery [mailto:jefferyenvironmentalservices@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2018 1:34 PM
To: Bednar, Candace <Bednar.Candace@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Rolla project that went bad

----- Forwarded message -----

From: paul jeffery <jefferyenvironmentalservices@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Mar 16, 2018 at 4:43 PM
Subject: Rolla project that went bad
To: "Jamison, Kenneth" <Jamison.Kenneth@epa.gov>

Kenny, I talked with you a while back about a former project of mine in which the owners had disposed of two drums of hazardous wastes by mixing them with sawdust and running it thru the Rolla transfer station and then to Prairie Valley landfill, north of Cuba. At the time we talked this didn't appear to be a big enough issue to warrant opening a lead. The timing is questionable because I talked with the city of Rolla and advised them they were providing bad information when it came to disposing of liquid wastes from anything other than a residential structure (there is a exemption for residential in RCRA).

When I was in Rolla a couple of weeks ago I noticed two of the commercial buildings and one former residence that is contiguous to the property had been demolished. I checked DNRs database and no NESHAP notifications had received. I spoke with Sara Veasman, MDNR in Rolla, who later stated a local demo permit had been obtained by a contractor from Crocker, MO. He told her he had been hired by another individual and had not been told of the presence of any asbestos. Sara later contacted the other guy and he said he did maintenance work for the owner. He also reportedly said the owner didn't say anything to him about asbestos.

The two commercial buildings at 109 A & B Rucker both contained in excess of regulated amounts of asbestos floor tile. Bldg A also has some TSI as duct tape. The house had tile and transite siding. None were removed nor were any proper work practices used.

I wasn't inclined to say anything until I discovered the owners rep (daughter and son) apparently said nothing about the asbestos requirements which they were well aware of. They improperly disposed of the drums to save \$1200, They saved about 25,000 by not having the three buildings abated. They also saved an indeterminate amount by not removed the florescent light bulbs (RCRA universal waste). There are three large

commercial buildings left that contain asbestos tile/mastic, florescent tubes and PCB ballasts. Two are to be demolished at some point and the former shopping center the daughter wants to renovate.

There is also an old quarry site just south of town on Hwy 63. Their dad was an accumulator of all things. At this site there are waste tires, intact asbestos piping, asbestos debris and drums/buckets of unknowns.

TBall has all of my documents and pic's on a thumbdrive, I've attached a document that has relevant emails along with the asbestos surveys done on the demo'ed buildings. I also have several text messages that I need to figure out how to put into a word document or forward. If you'd like to come over and review just let me know.

Paul